(Co)Algebraic Specification with Base Sets, Recursive and Iterative Equations

Peter Padawitz TU Dortmund, Germany

June 2, 2016

 $(actual version: http://fldit-www.cs.uni-dortmund.de/\sim peter/IFIP2014.pdf)$

More details can be found in:

- Algebraic Compiler Construction
- Fixpoints, Categories, and (Co)Algebraic Modeling
- From Modal Logic to (Co)Algebraic Reasoning (with Expander2)

Abstract

We present some fundamentals of a uniform approach to specify, implement and reason about (co)algebraic models in a many-sorted setting that covers constant, polynomial and collection types. Three kinds of (infinite-)tree models (finite terms, coterms and continuous trees) yield concrete representations (and Haskell implementations) of initial resp. final models.

On the axiomatic side, a format for recursive equations, which define either constructors on a final model or destructors on an initial one, is introduced. We show how *iterative* equations, which define continuous trees, can be translated into recursive equations so that the unique solvability of the latter implies the unique solvability of the former.

As a prototypical example, recursive equations define the Brzozowski automaton whose states are regular expressions and which accepts regular languages. We show how this set of equations can be extended by equations representing a non-left-recursive grammar G such that it defines an acceptor of the language of G.

Contents

• Syntax	4
• Semantics	12
• Initial and final algebras	27
• Recursive equations	48
• Iterative equations	59
• Context-free grammars with base sets	67
• Constructing recursive from iterative equations	75
• (Co-)Horn Logic	82

Let S be a set of **sorts**.

An S-sorted set A is a tuple $(A_s)_{s \in S}$ of sets.

We also write A for the union of A_s over all $s \in S$.

An S-sorted subset B of A, written as $B \subseteq A$, is an S-sorted set with $B_s \subseteq A_s$ for all $s \in S$.

Given S-sorted sets A_1, \ldots, A_n , an S-sorted relation $r \subseteq A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ is an S-sorted set with $r_s \subseteq A_{1,s} \times \cdots \times A_{n,s}$ for all $s \in S$.

The S-sorted binary relation $\Delta_A = \{\Delta_{A,s} \mid s \in S\}$ is called the **diagonal of** A^2 .

Given S-sorted sets A and B, an S-sorted function $f : A \to B$ is an S-sorted set such that for all $s \in S$, f_s is a function from A_s to B_s .

 Set^{S} denotes the category of S-sorted sets and S-sorted functions.

(base sets)

Let S and BS be sets of **sorts** and **base sets**, respectively.

The set $\mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ of types over S and BS

is inductively defined as follows:

- $S \subseteq \mathbb{T}(S, BS).$ (sorts)
- $BS \subseteq \mathbb{T}(S, BS).$
- For all $n > 0, e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), e_1 \times \cdots \times e_n \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS).$ (product types) The nullary product is identified with the base set $1 = \{\epsilon\}$.
- For all $n > 0, e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), e_1 + \dots + e_n \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS).$ (sum types)
- For all $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$, $word(e), bag(e), set(e) \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$. (collection types over e)
- For all $X \in BS$ and $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), e^X \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$. (power types over e)
- For all $e, e' \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ with $e' \notin BS$, $e^{e'} \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$. (higher-order types over e)

A type is **first-order** if it does not contain higher-order types.

 $\mathbb{T}_1(S, BS)$ denotes the set of first-order types over S and BS.



A type is **flat** if it is a sort, a base set or a collection or power type over a sort.

 $\mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$ denotes the set of flat types over S and BS.

A signature $\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, F, P)$

consists of

- a finite set S of **sorts** (symbols for sets),
- a finite set BS of **base sets**, implicitly including $1 = \{\epsilon\}$ and $2 = \{0, 1\}$,
- a finite set BF of **base functions** $f: X \to Y$ with $X, Y \in BS$,
- a finite set F of **operations** (symbols for functions) $f : e \to e'$ with $e, e' \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$,
- a finite set P of **predicates** (symbols for relations) p : e where e is a finite product of sorts and base sets.

For all $f : e \to e' \in F$, dom(f) = e resp. ran(f) = e' is the **domain** resp. range of f. For all $p : e \in P$, dom(p) = e is the **domain** of p.

Given signatures Σ and Σ' , $\Sigma \cup \Sigma'$ denotes the componentwise union of Σ and Σ' .



 $f \in F$ is a **constructor** if there are flat types e_1, \ldots, e_n over S and BS such that $dom(f) = e_1 \times \cdots \times e_n$ and $ran(f) \in S$.

 $f \in F$ is a **destructor** if there are non-power flat types e_1, \ldots, e_n over S and BS and $X \in BS$ such that $dom(f) \in S$ and $ran(f) = (e_1 + \cdots + e_n)^X$.

 Σ is constructive resp. destructive if F consists of constructors resp. destructors.

Constructive signatures

Let X be a set of constants and CS be a set of nonempty sets of constants.

$Nat \simeq$ natural numbers

$$S = \{nat\}, \quad BS = \emptyset, \quad F = \{ zero : 1 \rightarrow nat, \\ succ : nat \rightarrow nat \}.$$

 $List(X) \Leftrightarrow$ finite sequences of elements of X

$$S = \{list\}, \quad BS = \{X\}, \quad F = \{ nil : 1 \rightarrow list, \\ cons : X \times list \rightarrow list \}.$$



 $Reg(CS) \simeq$ regular expressions over CS and regular languages over $X = \bigcup CS$

$$\begin{split} S = \{reg\}, \quad BS = \emptyset, \quad F = \{ eps: 1 \rightarrow reg, \\ mt: 1 \rightarrow reg, \\ par: reg \times reg \rightarrow reg, \quad (\text{parallel composition}) \\ seq: reg \times reg \rightarrow reg, \quad (\text{sequential composition}) \\ iter: reg \rightarrow reg \} \cup \quad (\text{iteration}) \\ \{ \ \overline{C}: 1 \rightarrow reg \mid C \in CS \ \} \end{split}$$

The nullary constructor \overline{C} stands for a name of the set C.

Destructive signatures

Let X and Y be sets of constants.

 $coNat \simeq$ natural numbers with infinity

$$S = \{nat\}, \quad BS = \emptyset, \quad F = \{pred : nat \to 1 + nat\}.$$



 $coList(X) \Leftrightarrow$ finite or infinite sequences of elements of X ($coList(1) \cong coNat$)

$$S = \{list, pair\}, \quad BS = \{X\}, \quad F = \{ split : list \rightarrow 1 + pair, \\ first : pair \rightarrow X, \\ rest : pair \rightarrow list \}.$$

 $DAut(X, Y) \simeq$ deterministic Moore automata with input from X and output in Y

$$S = \{state\}, \quad BS = \{X, Y\}, \quad F = \{ \begin{array}{l} \delta : state \rightarrow state^X, \\ \beta : state \rightarrow Y \end{array} \}.$$

 $\begin{array}{l} Acc(X) \stackrel{\frown}{=} DAut(X,2) \rightsquigarrow \text{deterministic acceptors of subsets of } X^* \\ S = \{reg\}, \quad BS = \{X,2\}, \quad F = \{ \ \delta: reg \rightarrow reg^X, \\ \beta: reg \rightarrow 2 \ \}. \end{array}$

 $Stream(X) \cong DAut(1, X) \Leftrightarrow$ streams over X

$$S = \{list\}, \quad BS = \{X\}, \quad F = \{ head : list \to X, \\ tail : list \to list \}$$



Let V be a $\mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ -sorted set of variables.

The $\mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ -sorted set $T_{\Sigma}(V)$ of Σ -terms over V

is inductively defined as follows:

- For all $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), V_e \subseteq T_{\Sigma}(V)_e$.
- For all $X \in BS$, $X \subseteq T_{\Sigma}(V)_X$.
- For all $f: 1 \to e \in BF \cup F$, $f \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e$.
- For all $n > 1, e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e_1 \times \cdots \times e_n}$ and $1 \le i \le n, \pi_i t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e_i}$.
- For all $n > 1, e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), 1 \le i \le n$ and $t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e_i}, \iota_i t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e_1 + \cdots + e_n}$.
- For all $n > 1, e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ and $t_i \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e_i}, 1 \le i \le n$, $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e_1 \times \cdots \times e_n}$.
- For all $f: e \to e' \in BF \cup F$ and $t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e, ft \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e'}$.
- For all $c \in \{word, bag, set\}, e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ and $t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e^*, c(t) \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{c(e)}^*$.
- For all $n > 0, e_1, \ldots, e_n, e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), x \in V_{e_1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{e_n}$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e, \lambda x.(t_1 | \ldots | t_n) \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e^{e_1 + \cdots + e_n}}.$
- For all $e, e' \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e^{e'}}$ and $u \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e'}, t(u) \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e}$.

• For all $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$, $t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_2$ and $u, v \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e$, $ite(t, u, v) \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e$.

A Σ -term t that does not contain variables or ite, then t is called **ground**. T_{Σ} denotes the set of ground Σ -terms.

The set $Fo_{\Sigma}(V)$ of Σ -formulas over V is inductively defined as follows: • True, False \in Fo_{Σ}(V). • For all $p: e \in P$ and $t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e$, $pt \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V)$. $(\Sigma$ -atoms over V)• For all $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ and $t, u \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e, t =_e u \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V)$. (Σ -equations over V) • For all $\varphi \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V), \neg \varphi \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V)$. • For all $\varphi, \psi \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V), \varphi \wedge \psi, \varphi \vee \psi, \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \varphi \Leftarrow \psi, \varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V).$ • For all $x \in V$ and $\varphi \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V), \forall x\varphi, \exists x\varphi \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V).$

Semantics

$$[0] =_{def} \emptyset$$
 and for all $n > 0$, $[n] =_{def} \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

For all $f : A \to B$, $f^* : A^* \to B^*$ is defined as follows: $f^*(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ and for all n > 0 and $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A^n$, $f^*(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (f(a_1), \ldots, f(a_n))$.

Let A, B be sets and $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m), b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in A^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} a =_{word} b & \Leftrightarrow_{def} a = b. \\ a =_{bag} b & \Leftrightarrow_{def} \exists f : [n] \xrightarrow{\sim} [n] : (a_1, \dots, a_n) = (b_{f(1)}, \dots, b_{f(n)}), \\ & \text{i.e., } b \text{ is a permutation of } a. \\ a =_{set} b & \Leftrightarrow_{def} \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h: A \to B$.

 $\mathcal{B}_{fin}(A) =_{def} A/=_{bag} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_{fin}(h) : \mathcal{B}_{fin}(A) \to \mathcal{B}_{fin}(B) \text{ maps } [a]_{=_{bag}} \text{ to } [h^*(a)]_{=_{bag}}.$ $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(A) = \{C \subseteq A \mid |A| < \omega\} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{fin}(h) : \mathcal{P}_{fin}(A) \to \mathcal{P}_{fin}(B) \text{ maps } C \text{ to } \{f(a) \mid a \in C\}.$

Predicate lifting

For alle $e \in \mathbb{T}_1(S, BS)$, the functor $F_e : Set^S \to Set$ is inductively defined as follows:

For all S-sorted sets A, B, S-sorted functions $h : A \to B, s \in S, X \in BS, n > 1$ and $e, e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{T}_1(S, BS),$

$$\begin{split} F_s(A) &= A_s, & F_s(h) = h_s, & (\text{projection functor}) \\ F_X(A) &= X, & F_X(h) = id_X, & (\text{constant functor}) \\ F_{e_1 + \dots + e_n}(A) &= F_{e_1}(A) + \dots + F_{e_n}(A), & F_{e_1 + \dots + e_n}(h) = F_{e_1}(h) + \dots + F_{e_n}(h), \\ F_{e_1 \times \dots \times e_n}(A) &= F_{e_1}(A) \times \dots \times F_{e_n}(A), & F_{e_1 \times \dots \times e_n}(h) = F_{e_1}(h) \times \dots \times F_{e_n}(h), \\ F_{word(e)}(A) &= F_e(A)^*, & F_{word(e)}(h) = F_e(h)^*, \\ F_{bag(e)}(A) &= \mathcal{B}_{fin}(F_e(A)), & F_{bag(e)}(h) = \mathcal{B}_{fin}(F_e(h)), \\ F_{set(e)}(A) &= \mathcal{P}_{fin}(F_e(A)), & F_{set(e)}(h) = \mathcal{P}_{fin}(F_e(h)), \\ F_{e_X}(A) &= F_e(A)^X, & F_{e_X}(h) = F_e(h)^X. \end{split}$$

We mostly write A_e instead of $F_e(A)$.

Relation lifting

Given an S-sorted relation $R \subseteq A \times B$, R is extended to a $\mathbb{T}_1(S, BS)$ -sorted relation inductively as follows:

Let $s \in S, e_1, \ldots, e_n, e \in \mathbb{T}_1(S, BS)$ and $X \in BS$.

$$\begin{split} R_X &= \Delta_X, \\ R_{e_1 + \dots + e_n} &= \{((a, i), (b, i)) \in (\coprod_{i=1}^n A_{e_i}) \times \coprod_{i=1}^n B_{e_i} \mid (a, b) \in R_{e_i}, \ 1 \le i \le n\}, \\ R_{e_1 \times \dots \times e_n} &= \{((a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n)) \in (\prod_{i=1}^n A_{e_i}) \times \prod_{i=1}^n B_{e_i} \\ & \mid \forall \ 1 \le i \le n : (a_i, b_i) \in R_{e_i}\}, \\ R_{word(e)} &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{((a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n)) \in A_e^* \times B_e^* \\ & \mid \forall \ 1 \le i \le n : (a_i, b_i) \in R_e\}, \\ R_{bag(e)} &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{([(a_1, \dots, a_n)]_{=bag}, [(b_1, \dots, b_n)]_{=bag}) \in \mathcal{B}_{fin}(A_e) \times \mathcal{B}_{fin}(B_e) \\ & \mid \forall \ 1 \le i \le n : (a_i, b_i) \in R_e\}, \\ R_{set(e)} &= \{(C, D) \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(A_e) \times \mathcal{P}_{fin}(B_e) \mid \forall \ c \in C \exists \ d \in D : (c, d) \in R_e, \\ & \forall \ d \in D \exists \ c \in C : (c, d) \in R_e\}, \\ R_{e^X} &= \{(f, g) \mid \forall \ x \in X : (f(x), g(x)) \in R_e\}. \end{split}$$



Let $\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, F, P)$ be a signature.

A Σ -algebra A

consists of

- an S-sorted set, called the **carrier** of A and often also denoted by A,
- for each $f: e \to e' \in F$, a function $f^A: A_e \to A_{e'}$,
- for each $p: e \in P$, a subset p^A of A_e .

Suppose that all function and relation symbols of Σ have first-order domains and ranges. Let A, B be Σ -algebras.

An S-sorted function $h : A \to B$ is a Σ -homomorphism if for all $f : e \to e' \in F$, $h_{e'} \circ f^A = f^B \circ h_e$, and for all $p : e \in P$, $h_e(p^A) \subseteq p^B$.

 Alg_{Σ} denotes the category of Σ -algebras and Σ -homomorphisms.

rightarrow A Σ-homomorphism h is iso in Alg_{Σ} iff h is bijective and for all $p : e \in P, p^B \subseteq h_e(p^A)$.

Let U_S be the forgetful functor from Alg_{Σ} to Set^S .

For all $f : e \to e' \in F$, $\overline{f} : F_e U_S \to F_{e'} U_S$ with $\overline{f}(A) =_{def} f^A$ for all $A \in Alg_{\Sigma}$ is a natural transformation:



Given a category \mathcal{K} and an endofunctor F on \mathcal{K} ,

- an *F*-algebra or *F*-dynamics is a \mathcal{K} -morphism $\alpha : F(A) \to A$,
- an *F*-coalgebra or *F*-codynamics is a *K*-morphism $\alpha : A \to F(A)$.

 Alg_F and $coAlg_F$ denote the categories of F-algebras resp. F-coalgebras where

• an Alg_F -morphism from $\alpha : F(A) \to A$ to $\beta : F(B) \to B$ is a \mathcal{K} -morphism $h: A \to B$ with $h \circ \alpha = \beta \circ F(h)$,



• a coAlg_F-morphism from $\alpha : A : F(A)$ to $\beta : B \to F(B)$ is a \mathcal{K} -morphism $h: A \to B$ with $F(h) \circ \alpha = \beta \circ h$.

A constructive signature $\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, F, P)$ induces a functor

 $H_{\Sigma}: Set^S \to Set^S$:

For all $A, B \in Set^S$, $h \in Set^S(A, B)$ and $s \in S$,

 $\begin{aligned} H_{\Sigma}(A)_s &= \coprod_{f:e \to s \in F} A_e, \\ H_{\Sigma}(h)_s &= \coprod_{f:e \to s \in F} h_e. \end{aligned}$

 Alg_{Σ} and $Alg_{H_{\Sigma}}$ are equivalent categories:

Let $A \in Alg_{\Sigma}$ and $\alpha : A \to H_{\Sigma}(A) \in Alg_{H_{\Sigma}}$.

The H_{Σ} -algebra $A' : A \to H_{\Sigma}(A)$ and the Σ -algebra α' are defined as follows:



For all $s \in S$ and $f : e \to s \in F$,



Examples

$$\begin{aligned} H_{Nat}(A)_{nat} &= 1 + A_{nat}, \\ H_{List(X)}(A)_{list} &= 1 + (X \times A_{list}), \\ H_{Reg(CS)}(A)_{reg} &= 1 + 1 + CS + A_{reg}^2 + A_{reg}^2 + A_{reg}. \end{aligned}$$



 $h: A \to B$ is a Σ -homomorphism $\iff h$ is an $Alg_{H_{\Sigma}}$ -morphism from $\alpha(A)$ to $\alpha(B)$:



 $h: \alpha \to \beta$ is an $Alg_{H_{\Sigma}}$ -morphism $\iff h$ is a Σ -homomorphism from $A(\alpha)$ to $A(\beta)$:





A destructive signature $\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, F, P)$ induces a functor $H_{\Sigma} : Set^S \to Set^S$:

For all $A, B \in Set^S$, $h \in Set^S(A, B)$ and $s \in S$,

 $\begin{aligned} H_{\Sigma}(A)_s &= \prod_{f:s \to e \in F} A_e, \\ H_{\Sigma}(h)_s &= \prod_{f:s \to e \in F} h_e. \end{aligned}$

 Alg_{Σ} and $coAlg_{H_{\Sigma}}$ are equivalent categories:

Let $A \in Alg_{\Sigma}$ and $\alpha : H_{\Sigma}(A) \to A \in coAlg_{H_{\Sigma}}$.

The $H_{\Sigma}(A)$ -coalgebra $A' : H_{\Sigma}(A) \to A$ and the Σ -algebra α' are defined as follows: For all $s \in S$ and $f : s \to e \in F$,





Examples

$$H_{coNat}(A)_{nat} = 1 + A_{nat},$$

$$H_{coList(X)}(A)_{list} = 1 + (X \times A_{list}),$$

$$H_{DAut(X,Y)}(A)_{state} = A_{state}^X \times Y.$$

Haskell implementation of Alg_{Σ}

Let $\Sigma = (S, BS, \emptyset, F, \emptyset)$ be a signature, $BS = \{X_1, \dots, X_k\}, S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ and $F = \{f_1 : e_1 \to e'_1, \dots, f_n : e_n \to e'_n\}.$ Each Σ -algebra is an element of the following Haskell datatype:

Examples

data Nat nat = Nat {zero :: nat, succ :: nat -> nat}
data List x list = List {nil :: list, cons :: x -> list -> list}



Evaluation of terms and formulas

Let V be a $\mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ -sorted set of variables, A be a Σ -algebra and A^V be the set of valuations of V in A, i.e., $\mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ -sorted functions from V to A.

For all $g \in A^V$, $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$, $a \in A_e$, $x \in V_e$ and $z \in V$.

$$g[a/x](z) =_{def} \begin{cases} a & \text{if } z = x, \\ g(z) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



The $\mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ -sorted extension $g^* : T_{\Sigma}(V) \to A$ of g

is defined as follows:

- For all $x \in V$, $g^*(x) = g(x)$.
- For all $x \in X \in \cup BS$, $g^*(x) = x$.
- For all $n > 1, e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e_1 \times \cdots \times e_n}$ and $1 \le i \le n$, $g^*(\pi_i t) = g^*(t_i).$
- For all $n > 1, e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), 1 \le i \le n \text{ and } t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e_i}, g^*(\iota_i t) = (g^*(t), i).$
- For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in T_{\Sigma}(V), g^*(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = (g^*(t_1), \ldots, g^*(t_n)).$
- For all $f: e \to e' \in F$ and $t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e$, $g^*(f(t)) = f^A(g^*(t))$.
- For all $c \in \{word, bag, set\}, c(t) \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{c(e)}, g^*(c(t)) = [g^*(t)]_{=c}$.
- For all $n > 0, e_1, \ldots, e_n, e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), x \in V_{e_1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{e_n}, t_i \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e, 1 \le i \le n$, and $(a, i) \in A_{e_1 + \cdots + e_n}$,

 $g^*(\lambda x.(t_1|...|t_n))(a,i) = g[a/x]^*(t_i).$

• For all $e, e' \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS), t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e'}$ and $u \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_{e'}, g^*(t(u)) = g^*(t)(g^*(u)).$



• For all $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$, $t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_2$ and $u, v \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e$, $g^*(ite(t, u, v)) = \begin{cases} g^*(u) & \text{if } g^*(t) = 1, \\ g^*(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

A Σ -term t is **first-order** if the range of each subterm of t is first-order. For all $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$ and first-order Σ -terms t, we define:

$$t^A : A^V \to A_e$$

 $g \mapsto g^*(t)$

 $\overline{t}: _^V \to F_e U_S$ with $\overline{t}_A =_{def} t^A$ for all $A \in Alg_{\Sigma}$ is a natural transformation:





(1) is equivalent to the *Substitution Lemma*:

For all $g \in A^V$, Σ -homomorphisms $h : A \to B$ and first-order Σ -terms t,

$$(h \circ g)^*(t) = (h \circ g^*)(t).$$
 (2)

A interprets a Σ -formula φ over V by the set $\varphi^A \subseteq A^V$ of valuations that satisfy φ and is inductively defined as follows:

For all $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$, $p : e \in P$, $t, u \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e, \varphi, \psi \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V)$, $s \in S \cup BS$ and $x \in V_s$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} True^A &=& A^V,\\ False^A &=& \emptyset,\\ p(t)^A &=& \{g \in A^V \mid g^*(t) \in p^A\},\\ (\neg \varphi)^A &=& A^V \setminus \varphi^A,\\ (\varphi \wedge \psi)^A &=& \varphi^A \cap \psi^A,\\ (\varphi \vee \psi)^A &=& \varphi^A \cup \psi^A,\\ (\varphi \Rightarrow \psi)^A &=& (\psi \Leftarrow \varphi)^A = (\neg \varphi \vee \psi)^A, \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\psi \Leftrightarrow \varphi)^A &=& (\varphi \Rightarrow \psi)^A \cap (\varphi \Leftarrow \psi)^A, \\ (\forall x \varphi)^A &=& \{g \in A^V \mid \forall \ a \in A_s : g[a/x] \in \varphi^A\}, \\ (\exists x \varphi)^A &=& \{g \in A^V \mid \exists \ a \in A_s : g[a/x] \in \varphi^A\}. \end{array}$$

A satisfies $\varphi \in Fo_{\Sigma}(V)$, written as $A \models \varphi$, if $\varphi^A = A^V$.

The *Substitution Lemma* implies:

For all **negation-free** Σ -formulas φ , $g \in A^V$ and Σ -homomorphisms $h : A \to B$, $g \in \varphi^A \implies h \circ g \in \varphi^B$.

Initial and final algebras

An S-sorted binary relation R on A is a Σ -congruence on A if for all $f : e \to e' \in F$ and $(a, b) \in R_e$, $(f^A(a), f^A(b)) \in R_{e'}$.

If Σ is destructive, then Σ -congruences are also called Σ -bisimulations.

An S-sorted subset B of A is a Σ -invariant (or Σ -subalgebra of A) if for all $f : e \to e' \in F$ and $a \in A_e, f^A(a) \in A_{e'}$.

A Σ -algebra A satisfies the induction principle if for all S-sorted subsets B of A, $A \subseteq B$ iff B contains a Σ -invariant.

A is initial in $Alg_{\Sigma} \iff A$ satisfies the induction principle and for all Σ -algebras B there is a Σ -homomorphism from A to B.

A Σ -algebra A satisfies the coinduction principle if for all S-sorted binary relations R on $A, R \subseteq \Delta_A$ iff R is contained in a Σ -congruence.

A is final in $Alg_{\Sigma} \iff A$ satisfies the coinduction principle and for all Σ -algebras B there is a Σ -homomorphism from B to A.

Terms for constructive signatures

Let $\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, F)$ be a constructive signature.

 T_{Σ} is a Σ -algebra:

For all $f: e \to s \in F$ and $t \in T_{\Sigma,e}, f^{T_{\Sigma}}(t) =_{def} ft$.

Let ~ be the least $\mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$ -sorted equivalence relation on T_{Σ} such that

• for all $n > 1, e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$ and $t_i, t'_i \in T_{\Sigma, e_i}, 1 \le i \le n$,

• for all n > 1, $e \in \mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$ and $t_i, t'_i \in T_{\Sigma, e}, 1 \le i \le n$,

 $t_1 \sim_e t'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_n \sim_e t'_n \text{ implies } word(t_1, \dots, t_n) \sim_{word(s)} word(t'_1, \dots, t'_n),$ • for all $n > 1, e \in \mathbb{FT}(S, BS), f : [n] \xrightarrow{\sim} [n]$ and $t_i, t'_i \in T_{\Sigma, e}, 1 \le i \le n,$ $t_1 \sim_e t'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_n \sim_e t'_n \text{ implies } bag(f(t_1), \dots, f(t_n)) \sim_{bag(s)} bag(t'_1, \dots, t'_n),$

- for all $m, n > 0, e \in \mathbb{FT}(S, BS), t_i \in T_{\Sigma, e}, i \in [m]$, and $t'_i \in T_{\Sigma, e}, 1 \le i \le n$, $\forall 1 \le i \le m \exists 1 \le j \le n : t_i \sim_e t'_j \land \forall 1 \le j \le n \exists 1 \le i \le m : t_i \sim_e t'_j$ implies $set(t_1, \ldots, t_m) \sim_{set(s)} set(t'_1, \ldots, t'_n)$,
- for all $s \in S$, $f : e \to s \in F$ and $t, t' \in T_{\Sigma,e}, t \sim_e t'$ implies $ft \sim_s ft'$,
- for all $X \in BS$, $\sim_X = \Delta_X$.

For simplicity, we identify T_{Σ} with T_{Σ}/\sim .

 T_{Σ} is initial in Alg_{Σ} .

For all Σ -algebras A, the unique Σ -homomorphism

 $fold^A: T_\Sigma \to A$

is defined inductively as follows:

For all $f: e \to s \in F$, $t \in T_{\Sigma,e}$, $c \in \{word, bag, set\}$, $e' \in S \cup BS$ and $t' \in T^*_{\Sigma,e'}$,

 $\begin{array}{rcl} fold_s^A(ft) &=& f^A(fold_e^A(t)),\\ fold_{c(e')}^A(c(t')) &=& [fold_{e'}^A(t')]_{=c}. \end{array} \end{array}$

Haskell implementation of T_{Σ} and *fold*

All collection types are implemented by Haskell's list type.

Let $BS = \{X_1, \dots, X_k\}, S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ and $F = \{c_{ij} : e_{ij} \to s_i \mid 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n_i\},$

i.e., Alg_{Σ} is implemented by the following datatype:

The following datatypes provide the carriers of T_{Σ} :

data S1T x1 ... xk = C11 E11T | ... | C1n_1 E1n_1T ... data SmT x1 ... xk = Cm1 Em1T | ... | Cmn_m Emn_mT The algebra T_{Σ} is then defined as follows:

sigmaT :: Sigma x1 ... xk (S1T x1 ... xk) ... (SmT x1 ... xk) sigmaT = Sigma C11 ... C1n_1 ... Cm1 ... Cmn_m Let $1 \le i \le m$. foldSi :: Sigma x1 ... xk s1 ... sm -> SiT x1 ... xk -> si foldSi alg ti = case ti of Ci1 t -> ci1 alg \$ foldEi1 alg t Cin_i t -> cin_i alg \$ foldEin_i alg t foldWordSi,foldBagSi,foldSetSi :: Sigma x1 ... xk s1 ... sm -> [SiT x1 ... xk] -> [si] foldWordSi = map . foldSi foldBagSi = map . foldSi foldSetSi = map . foldSi

Let $1 \leq i \leq k$.

foldxi :: Sigma x1 ... xk s1 ... sm -> xi -> xi foldxi _ = id

Examples

```
data ListT x = Nil | Cons x (ListT x)
```

data RegT cs = Eps | Mt | Con cs | Par (RegT cs) (RegT cs) | Seq (RegT cs) (RegT cs) | Iter (RegT cs)

```
regT :: Reg cs (RegT cs)
regT cs = Reg Eps Mt Con Var Par Seq Iter
```

```
foldReg :: Reg cs reg -> RegT cs -> reg
foldReg alg t = case t of
            Eps -> eps alg
            Mt -> mt alg
            Con c -> con alg c
            Par t u -> par alg (foldReg alg t) $ foldReg alg u
            Seq t u -> seq alg (foldReg alg t) $ foldReg alg u
            Iter t -> iter alg $ foldReg alg t
```

Coterms for destructive signatures

Let $\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, F)$ be a destructive signature and $Lab_{\Sigma} = \{(d, x, i) \mid d : s \to (e_1 + \dots + e_n)^X \in F, x \in X, 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$ For all $d : s \to e^X$, $a \in A_s$ and $x \in X$, $d_x^A(a) =_{def} d^A(a)(x).$ coT_{Σ} denotes the greatest $\mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$ -sorted set of prefix closed partial functions $t : Lab_{\Sigma}^* \longrightarrow 1 + \{word, bag, set\} + \cup BS$ such that the following conditions hold true:

- For all $s \in S$, $t \in coT_{\Sigma,s}$, $d : s \to (e_1 + \dots + e_n)^X \in F$ and $x \in X$, $t(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ and there is $1 \le i \le n$ such that $(d, x, i) \in def(t)$, $\lambda w.t((d, x, i)w) \in coT_{\Sigma,e_i}$ and for all $(d, x, i), (d, x, j) \in def(t), dom(d) = s$ and i = j.
- For all $c \in \{word, bag, set\}$, $s \in S \cup BS$ and $t \in coT_{\Sigma,c(s)}$, $t(\epsilon) = c$ and there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\lambda w.t(iw) \in coT_{\Sigma,s}$, and $def(t) \cap Lab_{\Sigma} = [n]$.
- For all $X \in BS$, $coT_{\Sigma,X} = X$ (here identified with the set $1 \to X$ of functions).

The elements of coT_{Σ} are called Σ -coterms.



 $A \Sigma$ -coterm with destructors f_1, \ldots, f_8 that map into sum types. Each root of a subcoterm is labelled with its sort. Each leaf is labelled with a base element. Three dots stand for an infinite coterm.
For all $t \in coT_{\Sigma}$, let $def_1(t) = def(t) \cap Lab_{\Sigma}$.

Let ~ be the greatest $\mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$ -sorted equivalence relation on coT_{Σ} such that

- for all $s \in S$, $t \sim_s t'$ and $d \in def_1(t)$, $\lambda w.t(dw) \sim \lambda w.t'(dw)$,
- for all $s \in S \cup BS$ and $t \sim_{word(s)} t'$, $D =_{def} def_1(t) = def_1(t')$ and for all $i \in D$, $\lambda w.t(iw) \sim_s \lambda w.t'(iw)$,
- for all $s \in S \cup BS$ and $t \sim_{bag(s)} t'$, $D =_{def} def_1(t) = def_1(t')$ and there is $f : [n] \xrightarrow{\sim} [n]$ such that for all $i \in D$, $\lambda w.t(iw) \sim_s \lambda w.t'(f(i)w)$,
- for all $s \in S \cup BS$, $t \sim_{set(s)} t'$ and $i \in def_1(t)$ there is $j \in def_1(t')$ such that $\lambda w.t(iw) \sim_s \lambda w.t'(jw)$, for all $s \in S \cup BS$, $t \sim_{set(s)} t'$ and $j \in def_1(t')$ there is $i \in def_1(t)$ such that $\lambda w.t(iw) \sim_s \lambda w.t'(jw)$,
- for all $X \in BS$, $\sim_X = \Delta_X$.

For simplicity, we identify coT_{Σ} with coT_{Σ}/\sim .

 coT_{Σ} is a Σ -algebra:

For all
$$s \in S$$
, $t \in coT_{\Sigma,s}$, $d : s \to (e_1 + \dots + e_n)^X \in F$, $x \in X$ and $w \in Lab_{\Sigma}^*$,
 $(d, x, i) \in def(t) \implies d^{coT_{\Sigma}}(t)(x)(w) = t((d, i, x)w).$

Example 1

Let $L = \{(\delta, x) \mid x \in X\}$. $coT_{DAut(X,Y)}$ consists of all functions from $L^* + L^*\beta$ to 1 + Y, that for all $w \in L^*$ map w to ϵ and $w\beta$ to an element of Y:

$$coT_{DAut(X,Y)} \cong 1^{L^*} \times Y^{L^*\beta} \cong Y^{L^*\beta} \cong Y^{X^*}$$

Hence $coT_{DAut(X,Y)}$ is DAut(X,Y)-isomorphic to the DAut(X,Y)-algebra Beh(X,Y) of **behavior functions** that is defined as follows:

$$Beh(X,Y)_{state} = Y^{X^*}.$$

For all $f: X^* \to Y, x \in X$ und $w \in X^*$,

 $\delta^{Beh(X,Y)}(f)(x)(w) = f(xw) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{Beh(X,Y)}(f) = f(\epsilon).$



A $DAut(\{x, y, z\}, Y)$ -coterm of sort state

 coT_{Σ} is final in Alg_{Σ} .

For all Σ -algebras A, the unique Σ -homomorphism $unfold^A : A \to coT_{\Sigma}$ is defined as follows: For all $s \in \mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$, $a \in A_s$, $(d, x, i) \in Lab_{\Sigma}$, $w \in Lab_{\Sigma}^*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$unfold_{s}^{A}(a)(\epsilon) = \epsilon,$$

$$unfold_{s}^{A}(a)((d, x, i)w) = \begin{cases} unfold_{e_{i}}^{A}(b)(w) \text{ if } d : s \to (e_{1} + \dots + e_{n})^{X} \in F \\ \text{and } d^{A}(a)(x) = (b, i), \end{cases}$$

$$undefined \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

$$unfold_{s}^{A}(a)(kw) = \begin{cases} unfold_{s}^{A}(a_{k})(w) \text{ if } \exists c \in \{word, bag, set\}, \ e \in S \cup BS : \\ s = c(e), \ a = [(a_{1}, \dots, a_{n})]_{=c} \\ \text{and } 1 \leq k \leq n, \end{cases}$$

$$undefined \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Example 2

Let A be a DAut(X, Y)-algebra, $\xi : Beh(X, Y) \to coT_{DAut(X,Y)}$ be the isomorphism of Example 1 and $unfold B : A \to Beh(X, Y)$ be defined as follows:

For all $a \in A_{state}$, $x \in X$ and $w \in X^*$,

 $\begin{aligned} &unfold B^A(a)(\epsilon) \ = \ \beta^A(a), \\ &unfold B^A(a)(xw) \ = \ unfold B^A(\delta^A(a)(x))(w). \end{aligned}$

Since unfold B is DAut(X, Y)-homomorphic,

 $unfold^A = \xi \circ unfold B^A.$

Haskell implementation of coT_{Σ} and unfold

Again, all collection types are implemented by Haskell's list type.

Let
$$BS = \{X_1, \dots, X_k\}, S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$$
 and
 $F = \{d_{ij} : s_i \to e_{ij} \mid 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n_i\},$

i.e., Alg_{Σ} is implemented by the following datatype:

 The following datatypes provide the carriers of coT_{Σ} :

data S1C x1 ... xk = S1C {d11C :: E11C | ... | d1n_1C :: E1n_1C} ... data SmC x1 ... xk = SmC {dm1C :: Em1C | ... | dmn_mC :: Emn_mC} The algebra coT_{Σ} is then defined as follows:

sigmaC :: Sigma x1 ... xk (S1C x1 ... xk) ... (SmC x1 ... xk)
sigmaC = Sigma d11C ... d1n_1C ... dm1C ... dmn_mC

Let $1 \leq i \leq m$.

unfoldSi :: Sigma x1 ... xk s1 ... sm -> si -> SiC x1 ... xk unfoldSi alg ai = SiC (unfoldEi1 alg \$ di1 alg ai)

(unfoldEin_i alg \$ din_i alg ai)

unfoldWordSi,foldBagSi,foldSetSi :: Sigma x1 ... xk s1 ... sm -> [si] -> [SiT x1 ... xk]

```
unfoldWordSi = map . unfoldSi
unfoldBagSi = map . unfoldSi
unfoldSetSi = map . unfoldSi
```

```
Let 1 \le i \le k and n > 1.
```

unfoldxi :: Sigma x1 ... xk s1 ... sm -> xi -> xi unfoldxi _ = id

```
unfoldE^xi :: Sigma x1 ... xk s1 ... sm -> (xi -> E) -> xi -> EC
unfoldE^xi alg f = unfoldE alg . f
```

data Sum_n e1 ... en = S1 e1 | ... | Sn en

Let $1 \leq i \leq n$.

```
unfoldE1+...+En :: Sigma x1 ... xk s1 ... sm -> Sum_n E1 ... En
-> Sum_n E1C ... EnC
unfoldE1+...+En alg a = case a of S1 a -> unfoldE1 alg a
...
Sn a -> unfoldEn alg a
```

Examples

```
data ConatC = ConatC {predC :: Maybe ConatC}
conatC :: Conat ConatC
conatC = Conat predC
unfoldConat :: Conat nat -> nat -> ConatC
unfoldConat alg nat = ConatC $$ do nat <- pred alg nat
Just $$ unfoldConat alg nat
```

data ColistC x = ColistC {splitC :: Maybe (x,ColistC x)}

```
colistC :: Colist x (ColistC x)
colistC = Colist splitC
unfoldColist :: Colist x list -> list -> ColistC x
unfoldColist alg list = ColistC $ do (x,list) <- split alg list
                                     Just (x,unfoldColist alg list)
data StateC x y = StateC {deltaC :: x -> StateC x y, betaC :: y}
dAutC :: DAut x y (StateC x y)
dAutCot = DAut deltaC betaC
unfoldDAut :: DAut x y state -> state -> StateC x y
unfoldDAut alg state = StateC (unfoldDAut alg . delta alg state)
                              (beta alg state)
```

Realization of elements of final algebras

Given a Σ -algebra A, a final Σ -algebra Fin, $a \in A$ and $f \in Fin$,

(A, a) realizes f iff $unfold^A(a) = f$.

Example 3

Let A be the following $Acc(\mathbb{Z})$ -algebra:

eo :: DAut Int Bool Bool
eo = DAut (\state -> if state then even else not . even) id

and

$$f: \mathbb{Z}^* \to 2 \qquad g: \mathbb{Z}^* \to 2$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \text{ is even} \qquad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \text{ is odd}$$



Since $h : A \to Beh(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ with h(1) = f and h(0) = g is $Acc(\mathbb{Z})$ -homomorphic, $h = unfold^{eo}$.

Hence (A, 1) realizes f and (A, 0) realizes g.

Recursive equations

Given a constructive signature $C\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, C)$ and a destructive signature $D\Sigma = (S, BS', BF', D), \Psi = (C\Sigma, D\Sigma)$ is called a **bisignature**.

Let $\Sigma = C\Sigma \cup D\Sigma$. A set

 $E = \{ dc(x_1, \dots, x_{n_c}) = t_{d,c} \mid c : e_1 \times \dots \times e_{n_c} \to s \in C, \ d : s \to e \in D \}$

of Σ -equations is a **system of recursive** Ψ -equations if the following conditions hold true:

- For all $d \in D$ and $c \in C$, $free Vars(t_{d,c}) \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n_c}\}$.
- C is the union of disjoint sets C_1 and C_2 .
- For all $d \in D$, $c \in C_1$ and subterms du of $t_{d,c}$, u is a variable and $t_{d,c}$ is a term without elements of C_2 .

 \Rightarrow no nesting of destructors, but possible nestings of constructors of C_1

- For all $d \in D$, $c \in C_2$, subterms du of $t_{d,c}$ and paths p of (the tree representation of) $t_{d,c}$, u consists of destructors and a variable and p contains at most one occurrence of an element of C_2 .
 - \Rightarrow no nesting of constructors of C_2 , but possible nestings of destructors

Let *E* be a system of recursive Ψ -equations and *A* be a *C* Σ -algebra. An **inductive** solution of *E* in *A* is a Σ -algebra *B* with $B|_{C\Sigma} = A$ that satisfies *E*.

(1) If C_2 is empty, then E has a unique inductive solution in every initial $C\Sigma$ -algebra.

Let *E* be a system of recursive Ψ -equations and *A* be a *D* Σ -algebra. A coinductive solution of *E* in *A* is a Σ -algebra *B* with $B|_{D\Sigma} = A$ that satisfies *E*.

(2) E has a unique coinductive solution in every final $D\Sigma$ -algebra. Moreover, $T_{C\Sigma} \in Alg_{D\Sigma}$, $coT_{D\Sigma} \in Alg_{C\Sigma}$ and $fold^{coT_{D\Sigma}} = unfold^{T_{C\Sigma}}$.



Example 4

Let

 $C\Sigma \ = \ (\{list\}, \emptyset, \emptyset, \{evens, odds, exchange, exchange' : list \rightarrow list\}),$

 $\Psi = (C\Sigma, Stream(X))$ and $s \in V$. The equations

form a system E of recursive Ψ -equations.

evens(s) und odds(s) list the elements of s at even resp. odd positions. exchange(s) exchanges the elements at even positions with those at odd positions.

(2) $\implies E$ has a unique coinductive solution in the final Stream(X)-algebra.

Example 5

Let CS be a set of nonempty sets of constants, $X = \bigcup CS$,

$$\begin{split} D\Sigma \ = \ (\{reg\}, \{2, X\}, \\ \{max, *: 2 \times 2 \rightarrow 2\} \cup \{_ \in C: X \rightarrow 2 \mid C \in CS\}, \\ \{\delta: reg \rightarrow reg^X, \ \beta: reg \rightarrow 2\}), \end{split}$$

 $\Psi = (Reg(CS), D\Sigma), C \in CS \text{ and } t, u \in V.$ The equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \beta(par(t,u)) &=& max\{\beta(t),\beta(u)\},\\ \beta(seq(t,u)) &=& \beta(t)*\beta(u),\\ \beta(iter(t)) &=& 1. \end{array}$$

form the system BRE of recursive Ψ -equations.

(1) $\implies BRE$ has a unique inductive solution A in the initial Reg(CS)-algebra $T_{Reg(CS)}$. $Bro(CS) =_{def} A|_{Acc(X)}$ is called the Brzozowski automaton.

 $(2) \Longrightarrow BRE$ has a unique coinductive solution B in the final Acc(X))-algebra Pow(X), which is defined as follows:

For all $L \subseteq X^*$ and $x \in X$,

$$Pow(X)_{state} = \mathcal{P}(X^*),$$

$$\delta^{Pow(X)}(L)(x) = \{ w \in X^* \mid xw \in L \},$$

$$\beta^{Pow(X)}(L) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ falls } \epsilon \in L, \\ 1 \text{ sonst.} \end{cases}$$

 $Lang(X) = B|_{Reg(CS)}$ is defined as follows: For all $L, L' \subseteq X^*$ and $C \in CS$,

$$\begin{split} eps^{Lang(X)} &= \{\epsilon\},\\ mt^{Lang(X)} &= \emptyset,\\ \overline{C}^{Lang(X)} &= C,\\ par^{Lang(X)}(L,L') &= L \cup L',\\ seq^{Lang(X)}(L,L') &= L \cdot L',\\ iter^{Lang(X)}(L) &= L^*. \end{split}$$

 $(2) \Longrightarrow fold^{Lang(X)} = unfold^{Bro(CS)} : T_{Reg(CS)} \to \mathcal{P}(X^*)$

 $\implies \text{For all } t \in T_{Reg(CS)}, \, (Bro(CS), t) \text{ realizes the characteristic function} \\ \text{ of the language } fold^{Lang(X)}(t) \text{ of } t.$

Bro(CS) can be optimized to Norm(CS) by simplifying its states with respect to semiring axioms between each two transition steps:

For all $t \in T_{Reg(CS)}$, $\delta^{Norm(CS)}(t) =_{def} reduce \circ \delta^{Bro(CS)}(t)$.

Let $\Psi = (C\Sigma, D\Sigma)$ be a bisignature, $C\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, C)$, $D\Sigma = (S, BS', BF', D)$, A be a $(C\Sigma \cup D\Sigma)$ -algebra and \sim be an S-sorted relation on A.

The *C*-equivalence closure \sim_C of \sim is the least *S*-sorted equivalence relation on *A* that contains \sim and satisfies the following condition: For all $c : e \to s \in C$ and $a, b \in A_e$,

 $a \sim_C b$ implies $c^A(a) \sim_C c^A(b)$.

~ is a $D\Sigma$ -congruence up to C if for all $d: s \to e \in D$ and $a, b \in A_s$,

 $a \sim b$ implies $d^A(a) \sim_C d^A(b)$.

$A _{D\Sigma}$ is final in $Alg_{D\Sigma}$,		
\sim is a $D\Sigma$ -congruence up to C ,	$ \Longrightarrow \sim_C $ is a $D\Sigma$ -congruence.	(3)
there is a system of recursive Ψ -equations		

Example 6

Let Ψ be as in Example 5 and $V = \{x, y, z\},\$

 $\sim = \{(g^*(seq(x, par(y, z))), g^*(par(seq(x, y), seq(x, z))) \mid g : T_{Reg(CS)}(V) \rightarrow Pow(X)\}$ is an Acc(X)-congruence up to C.

 \implies Since Pow(X) is final in $Alg_{Acc(X)}$, (3) implies that \sim_C is Acc(X)-congruence.

 \implies Since Pow(X) satisfies the coinduction principle, $\sim \subseteq \Delta_{Pow(X)}$ and thus

 $Pow(X) \models seq(x, par(y, z)) = par(seq(x, y), seq(x, z)).$

Given a bisignature Ψ , we have seen that a system E of recursive Ψ -equations defines

- destructors on constructors inductively or
- constructors on destructors coinductively.

Similarly,

- the rules of a structural operational semantics (SOS) or a transition system specification
- or a distributive law $\lambda : TD \to DT$ of an endofunctor T over an endofunctor D

provide both

- an inductive definition of a semantics (destructors; D) of the syntax (constructors; T) of some language and
- a coinductive definition of the constructors on the language's behavioral model, given by the destructors.

Can λ be derived from Ψ such that $(C\Sigma \cup D\Sigma)$ -algebras satisfying E correspond to λ -**bialgebras**?

With regard to their domain and range types, functions that come as inductive or coinductive solutions of systems of recursive Ψ -equations are destructors or constructors, respectively.

Recursion schemas that define functions with more general domain or range types have been studied mainly in category-theoretical settings like distributive laws or adjunctions. For instance, in Ralf Hinze, *Adjoint Folds and Unfolds*, functions are defined as adjoint (co)extensions of folds or unfolds.

We think that most examples investigated in category-theoretical settings can be presented as systems of recursive Ψ -equations. Maybe, in some cases, the syntactic conditions given here must be weakened, but in many cases, they will already be weak enough – due to our powerful term language that involves polynomial as well as power and collection types.

Here are some modeling formalisms where coinductive definability has already been studied in detail:

• basic process algebra

 \Leftrightarrow Rutten, Processes as Terms: Non-well-founded Models for Bisimulation

- stream expressions and infinite sequences
 Rutten, A Coinductive Calculus of Streams
- tree expressions and infinite trees
 ∽ Silva, Rutten, A Coinductive Calculus of Binary Trees

- arithmetic expressions and valuations, CCS and transition trees Hutton, Fold and Unfold for Program Semantics
- stream function expressions and causal stream functions
 Hansen, Rutten, Symbolic Synthesis of Mealy Machines from Arithmetic Bitstream Functions

Iterative equations

Let $\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, F)$ be a constructive signature and V be an S-sorted set. An S-sorted function

 $E: V \to T_{\Sigma}(V)$

with $img(E) \cap V = \emptyset$ is called a system of iterative Σ -equations. Let A be a Σ -algebra and A^V be the set of S-sorted functions from V to A. $g \in A^V$ solves E in A if $g^* \circ E = g$.

Iterative equations are uniquely solvable in the following tree model:

 CT_{Σ} denotes the greatest $\mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$ -sorted set of prefix closed partial functions

 $t: \mathbb{N}^* \longrightarrow F + \{word, bag, set\} + \cup BS$

such that

• for all $s \in S$ and $t \in CT_{\Sigma,s}$ there are n > 0 and $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$ with $t(\epsilon) : e_1 \times \cdots \times e_n \to s \in F$, $def(t) \cap \mathbb{N} = [n]$ and $\lambda w.t(iw) \in CT_{\Sigma,e_i}$ for all $1 \le i \le n$,

- for all $c \in \{word, bag, set\}$, $s \in S \cup BS$ and $t \in CT_{\Sigma,c(s)}$ there is $n_t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t(\epsilon) = c, def(t) \cap \mathbb{N} = [n_t]$ and $\lambda w.t(iw) \in CT_{\Sigma,s}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n_t$,
- for all $X \in BS$, $CT_{\Sigma,X} = X$ (again identified with the set $1 \to X$ of functions).

Let ~ be the greatest $\mathbb{FT}(S, BS)$ -sorted equivalence relation on CT_{Σ} such that

- for all $s \in S$ and $t \sim_s t'$, $t(\epsilon) = t'(\epsilon)$ and for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda w.t(iw) \sim \lambda w.t'(iw)$,
- for all $s \in S \cup BS$ and $t \sim_{word(s)} t'$, $n_t = n_{t'}$ and for all $i \in [n_t]$, $\lambda w.t(iw) \sim_s \lambda w.t'(iw)$,
- for all $s \in S \cup BS$, $t \sim_{bag(s)} t'$ and $f : [n_t] \xrightarrow{\sim} [n_t]$, $n_t = n_{t'}$ and for all $i \in [n_t]$, $\lambda w.t(f(i)w) \sim_s \lambda w.t'(iw)$,
- for all $s \in S \cup BS$, $t \sim_{set(s)} t'$, $i \in [n_t]$ and $j \in [n_{t'}]$ there are $k \in [n_{t'}]$ and $l \in [n_t]$ such that $\lambda w.t(iw) \sim_s \lambda w.t'(kw)$ and $\lambda w.t(lw) \sim_s \lambda w.t'(jw)$,
- for all $X \in BS$, $\sim_X = \Delta_X$.

For simplicity, we identify CT_{Σ} with CT_{Σ}/\sim .

The elements of CT_{Σ} are called Σ -trees.

CT_{Σ} is a Σ -algebra:

For all
$$f : e \to s \in F$$
, $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in CT_{\Sigma, e}$ and $w \in \mathbb{N}^*$,
$$f^{CT_{\Sigma}}(t)(w) =_{def} \begin{cases} f & \text{if } w = \epsilon, \\ t_i(v) & \text{if } \exists i \in \mathbb{N} : iv = w. \end{cases}$$

 $f^{CT_{\Sigma}}(t)$ is also written as ft and $f^{CT_{\Sigma}}(\epsilon)$ as f.

Let $\Sigma_{\perp} = (S, BS, BF, F \cup \{\perp_s : 1 \to s \mid s \in S\})$ and \leq be the least reflexive, transitive and Σ -congruent S-sorted relation on $CT_{\Sigma_{\perp}}$ such that for all $s \in S$ and $t \in CT_{\Sigma_{\perp},s}$, $\perp_s \leq t$.

Kleene's fixpoint theorem \implies

 $CT_{\Sigma_{\perp}}$ is initial in $CAlg_{\Sigma}$,

the category of ω -continuous Σ -algebras as objects and strict and ω -continuous Σ -homomorphisms.

Elgot's Theorem (see Goguen et al., Initial Algebra Semantics and Continuous Algebras)

Each system of iterative Σ -equations has a unique solution in CT_{Σ} .

 Σ induces the destructive signature $co\Sigma$ with $H_{\Sigma} = H_{co\Sigma}$:

$$co\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, \{d_s : s \to \coprod_{f:e \to s \in F} e \mid s \in S\} \cup \{\pi_i : e_1 \times \dots \times e_n \to e_i \mid n > 1, e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{FT}(S, BS), 1 \le i \le n\})$$

Here each product type $e_1 \times \cdots \times e_n$ is regarded as an additional sort. The projections $\pi_i : e_1 \times \cdots \times e_n \to e_i, 1 \le i \le n$, provide its destructors.

CT_{Σ} is a $co\Sigma$ -algebra:

For all $s \in S$ and $t \in CT_{\Sigma,s}$ such that $t(\epsilon)$ is *n*-ary,

 $d_s^{CT_{\Sigma}}(t) =_{def} ((\lambda w.t(1w), \dots, \lambda w.t(nw)), t(\epsilon)).$

 CT_{Σ} is final in $Alg_{co\Sigma}$.

For all $co\Sigma$ -algebras A, the unique Σ -homomorphism $unfold^A : A \to CT_{\Sigma}$ is defined as follows: For all $s \in S$, $a \in A_s$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$unfold^{A}(a)(\epsilon) = f,$$

$$unfold^{A}(a)(iw) = \begin{cases} unfold^{A}(a_{i})(w) & \text{if } \pi_{1}(d_{s}^{A}(a)) = (a_{1}, \dots, a_{n}) \land 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 $CT_{\Sigma} \cong coT_{co\Sigma}.$



A $co\Sigma$ -coterm

... and the corresponding Σ -tree:



Let $E: V \to T_{\Sigma}(V)$ be a system of iterative Σ -equations.

The $co\Sigma$ -algebra T^E

is defined as follows: For all $s \in S$, $f : e \to s \in F$, $t \in T_{\Sigma}(V)_e$ and $x \in V_s$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T^E_s &=& T_{\Sigma}(V)_s, \\ d^{T^E}_s(ft) &=& (t,f), \\ d^{T^E}_s(x) &=& d^{T^E}_s(E(x)) \end{array}$$

 $unfold^{T^{E}} \circ inc_{V} : V \to CT_{\Sigma} \text{ solves } E \text{ in } CT_{\Sigma}.$ $g: V \to CT_{\Sigma} \text{ solves } E \text{ in } CT_{\Sigma} \text{ iff } g^{*} : T^{E} \to CT_{\Sigma} \text{ is } co\Sigma\text{-homomorphic.}$ (5)

 $(4) \land (5) \Longrightarrow$ Each system of iterative Σ -equations has a unique solution in CT_{Σ} . An alternative proof of this result is given in Example 8 below.

Example 7 $\Psi = (\Sigma, co\Sigma)$

For all $e \in \mathbb{T}(S, BS)$, let x_e be a variable that is not contained in V.

 $DC = \{ d_s(f(x)) = \iota_f(x) \mid s \in S, f : e \to s \in F \}$

is a system of recursive Ψ -equations.

(2) $\implies DC$ has a unique coinductive solution in CT_{Σ} .

(6)

A context-free grammar G = (S, BS, R)

consists of

- a set S of **sorts** (also called **nonterminals**),
- a set BS of nonempty **base sets** whose singletons are called **terminals** and are identified with their respective unique element,
- a set R of rules $s \to w$ with $s \in S$ and $w \in (S \cup BS)^*$.

Let Z be the set of terminals of G. The following function $typ : (S \cup BS)^* \to \mathcal{T}(S, BS)$ removes all elements of Z from words over $S \cup BS$ and translates the latter into the corresponding product types:

- $typ(\epsilon) = 1.$
- For all $s \in S \cup BS \setminus Z$ and $w \in (S \cup BS)^*$, $typ(sw) = s \times typ(w)$.
- For all $x \in Z$ and $w \in (S \cup BS)^*$, typ(xw) = typ(w).

The constructive signature

 $\Sigma(G) = (S, BS, \{ f_{s \to w} : typ(w) \to s \mid s \to w \in R \})$

is called the **abstract syntax of** G of G.

Finite ground $\Sigma(G)$ -terms are called **syntax trees of** G.

Let $X = \bigcup BS$.

The $\Sigma(G)$ -word algebra Word(G) recovers the concrete from the abstract syntax:

- For all $s \in S$, $Word(G)_s =_{def} X^*$.
- For all $w \in Z^*$ and $r = (s \to w) \in R$, $f_r^{Word(G)}(\epsilon) =_{def} w$.
- For all n > 0, $w_0 \dots w_n \in Z^*$, $e_1, \dots, e_n \in S \cup BS \setminus Z$, $r = (s \rightarrow w_0 e_1 w_1 \dots e_n w_n) \in R$ and $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in (X^*)^n$, $f_r^{Word(G)}(v_1, \dots, v_n) =_{def} w_0 v_1 w_1 \dots v_n w_n$.

The language L(G) of G is the set of words over X that result from folding syntax trees in Word(G):

$$L(G) =_{def} fold^{Word(G)}(T_{\Sigma(G)}).$$

According to [2], generic compilers for G can be formulated in category-theoretic terms as follows:

Let $(M : Set^S \to Set^S, \eta, \epsilon)$ be a monad that encapsulates the compiler output or, in the case of incorrect input, returns error messages, $\mathcal{P} : Set^S \to Set^S$ be the (S-sorted) powerset functor, $M \times M = _ \times _ \circ \Delta \circ M$,

 $\oplus: M \times M \to M$ and $set: M \to \mathcal{P}$

be natural transformations and

 $E = \{m \in img(M) \mid set(m) = \emptyset\}$

such that for all sets $A, B, m, m', m'' \in M(A), e \in E, f : A \to M(B), h : A \to B$ and $a \in A$,

$$(m \oplus m') \oplus m'' = m \oplus (m' \oplus m''),$$

$$M(h)(e) = e,$$

$$M(h)(m \oplus m') = M(h)(m) \oplus M(h)(m'),$$

$$set_A(m \oplus m') = set_A(m) \cup set_A(m'),$$

$$set_A(\eta_A(a)) = \{a\},$$

$$set_B(m \gg = f) = \bigcup \{set_B(f(a)) \mid a \in set_A(m)\}$$

Let $const(X^*)$ be the functor that maps each object and morphism of $Alg_{\Sigma(G)}$ to the S-sorted set $(X^*)_{s\in S}$ and the S-sorted function $(id_{X^*})_{s\in S}$, respectively, U be the forgetful functor from $Alg_{\Sigma(G)}$ to Set^S and W = Word(G).

A natural transformation

 $compile_G : const(X^*) \to MU$

is a generic compiler for G if $set_W \circ compile_G^W$ is the following coproduct extension:



Such a compiler is generic because it has two parameters: a $\Sigma(G)$ -algebra \mathcal{A} that represents a target language and the monad M (together with \oplus and set) that determines which target objects and error messages, respectively, are to be returned.

Let
$$parse_G = compile_G^{T_{\Sigma(G)}}$$
 and $unparse_G =_{def} fold^{Word(G)}$.

Since $compile_G$ is a natural transformation and for all $\Sigma(G)$ -algebras \mathcal{A} ,

$$fold^{\mathcal{A}}: T_{\Sigma(G)} \to \mathcal{A}$$

is $\Sigma(G)$ -homomorphic,

$$compile_G^{\mathcal{A}} = X^* \xrightarrow{parse_G} M(T_{\Sigma(G)}) \xrightarrow{M(fold^{\mathcal{A}})} M(\mathcal{A}).$$
 (8)

Hence the restriction of $parse_G$ to L(G) is a right inverse of $unparse_G$:

 $set_W \circ M(unparse_G) \circ parse_G \circ inc_{L(G)} = set_W \circ M(fold^W) \circ parse_G \circ inc_{L(G)}$ $\stackrel{(8)}{=} set_W \circ compile_G^W \circ inc_{L(G)} \stackrel{(7)}{=} \lambda w. \{w\}.$

Following the classical notion of compiler correctness [1, 3], we call $compile_G^{\mathcal{A}}$ correct w.r.t. a source model Sem and a target model Mach ("abstract machine") if there are functions *execute* : $\mathcal{A} \to Mach$ and *encode* : Sem $\to Mach$ such that the following diagram commutes:



evaluate runs a "target program" $a \in A$ on the abstract machine Mach, while encode expresses the source model in terms of the target model.

The initiality of $T_{\Sigma(G)}$ allows us to reduce the proof that (9) commutes to the extension of *encode* and *evaluate* to $\Sigma(G)$ -homomorphisms. For this purpose, *Mach* must be extended to a $\Sigma(G)$ -algebra. This can often be done by establishing a target signature Σ' such that $T_{\Sigma'}$ concides with \mathcal{A} , each constructor of $\Sigma(G)$ corresponds to a Σ' -term, *Sem* is a Σ' -algebra and *evaluate* folds Σ' -terms in *Sem*. The mapping of $\Sigma(G)$ -constructors to Σ' -terms may then determine a definition *encode* such that both *encode* and *evaluate* become $\Sigma(G)$ -homomorphic. In this way, [3] shows the correctness of a compiler that translates imperative programs into data flow graphs.
Context-free grammars with base sets

In the sequel, we regard the constructors par and seq of Reg(CS) as operations of mutable arity and thus write

- $par(t_1,\ldots,t_n)$ instead of $par(t_1, par(t_2,\ldots,par(t_{n-1},t_n)\ldots))$ and
- $seq(t_1,\ldots,t_n)$ instead of $seq(t_1,seq(t_2,\ldots,seq(t_{n-1},t_n)\ldots))$.

par(t) and seq(t) stand for t.

G induces an iterative system of Reg(CS)-equations:

$$E_G : S \to T_{Reg(CS)}(S)$$

$$s \mapsto par(\overline{w_1}, \dots, \overline{w_k})$$
where $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} = \{w \in (S \cup CS)^* \mid s \to w \in R\}$
and for all $n > 1, e_1, \dots, e_n \in S \cup CS$ and $s \in S$,
$$\overline{e_1 \dots e_n} = seq(\overline{e_1}, \dots, \overline{e_n}),$$

$$\overline{s} = s.$$

 E_G is called the system of equations for G.

Context-free grammars with base sets

The function $sol_G : S \to \mathcal{P}(X^*)$ with $sol_G(s) = L(G)_s$ for all $s \in S$ solves E_G in Lang(X). (10)

 sol_G is the least solution of E_G in Lang(X), i.e., for all solutions g of E_G in Lang(X)and all $s \in S$, $sol_G(s) \subseteq g(s)$.

Constructing recursive from iterative equations

Let $\Psi = (C\Sigma, D\Sigma), C\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, C), \Sigma = C\Sigma \cup D\Sigma$ and $V \in Set^S$. $C\Sigma_V = (S, BS \cup \{V_s \mid s \in S\}, BF, C \cup \{in_s : V_s \to s \mid s \in S\}),$ $\Psi_V = (C\Sigma_V, D\Sigma),$ $\Sigma_V = C\Sigma_V \cup D\Sigma.$

Let $E: V \to T_{C\Sigma}(V)$ be a system of iterative $C\Sigma$ -equations, rec(E) be a system of recursive Ψ_V -equations and A be a Σ -algebra.

rec(E) simulates E in A if for all solutions $g: V \to A$ of E, the Σ_V -algebra A_g with $A_g|_{\Sigma} = A$ and $in_s^{A_g} = g_s$ for all $s \in S$ satisfies rec(E).

Suppose that rec(E) simulates E in A and A is final in $Alg_{D\Sigma}$. Then E has a unique solution in A. (11)

Proof. Let $g, h: V \to A$ solve E in A. We extend A to Σ_V -algebras A_1, A_2 by defining $in_s^{A_1} = g_s$ and $in_s^{A_2} = h_s$ for all $s \in S$. By assumption, both A_1 and A_2 satisfy rec(E). Since $A|_{D\Sigma}$ is final in $Alg_{D\Sigma}$, (2) implies that the coinductive solution of rec(E) in $A|_{D\Sigma}$ is unique. Hence $A_1 = A_2$ and thus for all $s \in S, g_s = in_s^{A_1} = in_s^{A_2} = h_s$.

 $\sigma_V: V \to T_{\Sigma_V}$ denotes the substitution with $\sigma_V(x) = in_s x$ for all $x \in V_s$ und $s \in S$. For all Σ_V -algebras A,

$$(in^{A})^{*} = fold^{A} \circ \sigma_{V}^{*} : T_{\Sigma}(V) \to A,$$
(12)

where $in^A = (in_s^A : V_s \to A_s)_{s \in S}$.

Example 8 $\Psi = (C\Sigma, coC\Sigma)$

Let $C\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, C)$ be a constructive signature, $D\Sigma = co\Sigma$ and $E: V \to T_{C\Sigma}(V)$ be a system of iterative $C\Sigma$ -equations.

$$rec(E) = \{ d_s(in_s(x)) = \iota_c(\sigma_V^*(t)) \mid s \in S, x \in V_s, E(x) = ct \}$$

is a system of recursive Ψ_V -equations.

By (6), the system DC of recursive ψ -equations has a unique coinductive solution A in $CT_{C\Sigma}$.

Let $g: V \to A$ be a solution of E in A. For all $s \in S$, $x \in V_s$ with E(x) = ct,

$$in_s^{A_g}(x) = g(x) = g^*(E(x)) = g^*(ct) = c^A(g^*(t)),$$
(13)

and thus for all S-sorted sets V' of variables and $h: V' \to A_g$,

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(d_s(in_s x)) &= d_s^{A_g}(in_s^{A_g}(x)) \stackrel{(13)}{=} d_s^A(c^A(g^*(t))) \stackrel{(6)}{=} \iota_c(g^*(t)) = \iota_c((in_s^A)^*(t)) \\ \stackrel{(12)}{=} \iota_c(fold^{A_g}(\sigma_V^*(t))) = \iota_c(h^*(\sigma_V^*(t))) = h^*(\iota_c(\sigma_V^*(t))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence A_g satisfies rec(E), i.e.,

rec(E) simulates E in A.

Since A is final in $Alg_{co\Sigma}$, (4) and (11) imply that E has a unique solution in A.

Example 9 $\Psi = (Reg(CS), D\Sigma)$

Let G = (S, BS, Z, R) be a **non-left-recursive** context-free grammar (i.e., there are no derivations of the form $s \xrightarrow{+}_{G} sw$), $CS = BS \cup \{\{z\} \mid z \in Z\}$ and *reduce* be a function that simplifies regular expressions by applying semiring axioms.

Then for all $s \in S$ there are $k_s, n_s > 0, C_{s,1}, \ldots, C_{s,n_s} \in CS$ and Reg(CS)-terms $t_{s,1}, \ldots, t_{s,n_s}$ over S such that

$$(reduce \circ E_G^*)^{k_s}(s) = par(seq(\overline{C_{s,1}}, t_{s,1}), \dots, seq(\overline{C_{s,n_s}}, t_{s,n_s}))$$
(14)

or

$$(reduce \circ E_G^*)^{k_s}(s) = par(seq(\overline{C_{s,1}}, t_{s,1}), \dots, seq(\overline{C_{s,n_s}}, t_{s,n_s}), eps).$$
(15)

 S_{eps} denotes the set of all $s \in S$ such that case (15) holds true.

Let Reg(CS)' be the extension of Reg(CS) by the S of sorts of G as a further base set and the constructor $in =_{def} in_{reg} : S \to reg$ as a further operation.

Let $D\Sigma$ be defined as in Example 5, $\Psi_S = (Reg(CS)', D\Sigma)$ and $\Sigma = Reg(CS)' \cup D\Sigma$.

Using the notations of (14) and (15), we obtain the following system of recursive Ψ_{S} equations:

$$\begin{aligned} rec(E_G) &= \{ \delta(in(s)) = \lambda x. \sigma_S^*(par(ite(\chi(C_{s,1})(x), t_{s,1}, mt), \dots, \\ ite(\chi(C_{s,n_s})(x), t_{s,n_s}, mt))) \mid s \in S \} \cup \\ \{ \beta(in(s)) = 1 \mid s \in S_{eps} \} \cup \\ \{ \beta(in(s)) = 0 \mid s \in S \setminus S_{eps} \} \end{aligned}$$

Let $X = \bigcup CS$. By Example 5, the system *BRE* of recursive Ψ -equations has a unique coinductive solution A in Pow(X).

Let $g: S \to A$ be a solution of E_G in A. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$g^* = g^* \circ (reduce \circ E^*)^n.$$
(16)

Let $h: V \to A_g$. Hence for all $s \in S$,

$$h^*(in(s)) = in^{A_g}(s) = g(s) = g^*(s) \stackrel{(16)}{=} g^*((reduce \circ E_G^*)^{k_s}(s))$$
(17)

By (12),

$$g^* = (in^{A_g})^* = fold^{A_g} \circ \sigma_S^* : T_{Reg(CS)}(S) \to A.$$
(18)

Hence for all $s \in S \setminus S_{eps}$,

$$\begin{split} h^{*}(\delta(in(s))) &= \delta^{A}(h^{*}(in(s))) \stackrel{(17)}{=} \delta^{A}(g^{*}((reduce \circ E_{G}^{*})^{k_{s}}(s))) = \dots \\ &= \delta^{A}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{s}}(C_{s,i} \cdot g^{*}(t_{s,i}))) = \lambda x.\delta^{A}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}(C_{s,i} \cdot g^{*}(t_{s,i})))(x) \\ \stackrel{Def.}{=} \delta^{A} \lambda x.\{w \in X^{*} \mid xw \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{s}}(C_{s,i} \cdot g^{*}(t_{s,i}))\} = \dots \\ &= g^{*}(\lambda x.par(ite(\chi(C_{s,1})(x), t_{s,1}, mt), \dots, ite(\chi(C_{s,n_{s}})(x), t_{s,n_{s}}, mt)))) \\ \stackrel{(18)}{=} fold^{A_{g}}(\sigma_{S}^{*}(\lambda x.par(ite(\chi(C_{s,1})(x), t_{s,1}, mt), \dots, ite(\chi(C_{s,n_{s}})(x), t_{s,n_{s}}, mt))))) \\ &= h^{*}(\sigma_{S}^{*}(\lambda x.par(ite(\chi(C_{s,1})(x), t_{s,1}, mt), \dots, ite(\chi(C_{s,n_{s}})(x), t_{s,n_{s}}, mt))))) \end{split}$$

(. _)

and

Constructing recursive from iterative equations

$$h^{*}(\beta(in(s))) = \beta^{A}(h^{*}(in(s))) \stackrel{(17)}{=} \beta^{A}(g^{*}((reduce \circ E_{G}^{*})^{k_{s}}(s))) = \dots$$
$$= \beta^{A}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{s}}(C_{s,i} \cdot g^{*}(t_{s,i}))) \stackrel{Def.}{=} \beta^{A} = h^{*}(0),$$

and for all $s \in S_{eps}$,

$$\begin{split} h^*(\delta(in(s))) &= \delta^A(h^*(in(s))) \stackrel{(17)}{=} \delta^A(g^*((reduce \circ E_G^*)^{k_s}(s))) = \dots \\ &= \delta^A(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_s}(C_{s,i} \cdot g^*(t_{s,i})) \cup \{\epsilon\}) = \lambda x.\delta^A(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_s}(C_{s,i} \cdot g^*(t_{s,i})) \cup \{\epsilon\})(x) \\ \stackrel{Def.}{=} \delta^A \lambda x.\{w \in X^* \mid xw \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_s}(C_{s,i} \cdot g^*(t_{s,i})) \cup \{\epsilon\}\} \\ &= \lambda x.\{w \in X^* \mid xw \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_s}(C_{s,i} \cdot g^*(t_{s,i}))\} = \dots \\ &= g^*(\lambda x.par(ite(\chi(C_{s,1})(x), t_{s,1}, mt), \dots, ite(\chi(C_{s,n_s})(x), t_{s,n_s}, mt)))) \\ \stackrel{(18)}{=} fold^{A_g}(\sigma^*_S(\lambda x.par(ite(\chi(C_{s,1})(x), t_{s,1}, mt), \dots, ite(\chi(C_{s,n_s})(x), t_{s,n_s}, mt))))) \\ &= h^*(\sigma^*_S(\lambda x.par(ite(\chi(C_{s,1})(x), t_{s,1}, mt), \dots, ite(\chi(C_{s,n_s})(x), t_{s,n_s}, mt))))) \end{split}$$

and

$$h^{*}(\beta(in(s))) = \beta^{A}(h^{*}(in(s))) \stackrel{(17)}{=} \beta^{A}(g^{*}((reduce \circ E_{G}^{*})^{k_{s}}(s))) = \dots$$
$$= \beta^{A}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{s}}(C_{s,i} \cdot g^{*}(t_{s,i})) \cup \{\epsilon\}) \stackrel{Def.}{=} \beta^{A} = h^{*}(1).$$

Hence A_g satisfies $rec(E_G)$, i.e.,

$$rec(E_G)$$
 simulates E_G in A .

(19)

$(10) \land (11) \land (19) \implies sol_G$ is the only solution of E_G in A.

 $rec(E_G)$ suggests the following extension of Bro(CS) to a Reg(CS)'-Algebra Bro(CS)': For all $s \in S$,

 $\delta^{Bro(CS)'}(in(s)) = \lambda x.\sigma_S^*(par(ite(x \in C_{s,1}, t_{s,1}, mt), \dots, ite(x \in C_{s,n_s}, t_{s,n_s}, mt))),$ $\beta^{Bro(CS)'}(in(s)) = if \ s \in S_{eps} \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0.$

Let $Lang(X)' = A_{sol_G}|_{Reg(CS)'}$ and $\Sigma = Reg(CS)' \cup D\Sigma$. Bro(CS)' agrees with the Σ -algebra $T_{Reg(CS)'}$ (see (2)). Hence $fold^{Lang(X)'} = unfold^{Bro(CS)'} : Bro(CS)' \to Pow(X)$

and thus $fold^{Lang(X)'}$ is Acc(X)-homomorphic. Hence for all $s \in S$,

$$unfold^{Bro(CS)'}(in(s)) = fold^{Lang(X)'}(in(s)) = in^{Lang(X)'}(s)$$
$$= in^{A_{solG}}(s) = sol_G(s) = L(G)_s,$$

i.e., (Bro(CS)', in(s)) realizes the characteristic function of the language $L(G)_s$ of words over X that are derivable from s via the rules of G.

(Co-)Horn Logic

(Co-)Horn clauses

Let $\Sigma = (S, BS, BF, F, P)$ and $\Sigma' = (S, BS, BF, F, P \cup P')$ be signatures and C be a Σ -algebra.

 $Alg_{\Sigma',C}$ denotes the full subcategory of Alg_{Σ} consisting of all Σ' -algebras A with $A|_{\Sigma} = C$. $Alg_{\Sigma',C}$ is a complete lattice: For all $A, B \in Alg_{\Sigma',C}$,

 $A \leq B \iff_{def} \forall p \in P' : p^A \subseteq p^B.$

For all $\mathcal{A} \subseteq Alg_{\Sigma',C}$ and $p: e \in P'$,

$$p^{\perp} = \emptyset, \ p^{\top} = A_e, \ p^{\sqcup \mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} p^A \text{ and } p^{\sqcap \mathcal{A}} = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} p^A$$

A Σ' -formula φ is **negation-free w.r.t.** Σ if φ does not contain \Rightarrow , \Leftarrow or \Leftrightarrow and all subformulas of φ with a leading negation symbol belong to $Fo_{\Sigma}(V)$.

A Horn clause for P' is a Σ' -formula $p(t) \Leftarrow \varphi$ such that $p \in P'$ and φ is negation-free w.r.t. Σ .

Let AX be a set of Horn clauses for P'.

The AX-step function $\Phi : Alg_{\Sigma',C} \to Alg_{\Sigma',C}$ is defined as follows:

For all $A \in Alg_{\Sigma',C}$ and $p \in P'$,

$$p^{\Phi(A)} =_{def} \{g^*(t) \mid p(t) \Leftarrow \varphi \in AX, \ g \in \varphi^A\}.$$

 Φ is monotone and thus by the Fixpoint Theorem of Knaster and Tarski, Φ has the least fixpoint

$$lfp(\Phi) = \sqcap \{A \in Alg_{\Sigma',C} \mid \Phi(A) \le A\}.$$

Consequently,

$$lfp(\phi) \models p(x) \Leftrightarrow \bigvee_{p(t) \leftarrow \varphi \in AX} \exists var(t,\varphi) : (x = t \land \varphi).$$

A co-Horn clause for P' is a Σ' -formula $p(t) \Rightarrow \varphi$ such that $p \in P'$ and φ is negation-free w.r.t. Σ .

Let AX be a set of co-Horn clauses for P'.

The AX-step function $\Phi : Alg_{\Sigma',C} \to Alg_{\Sigma',C}$ is defined as follows:

For all $A \in Alg_{\Sigma',C}$ and $p : e \in P'$,

$$p^{\Phi(A)} =_{def} C_e \setminus \{g^*(t) \mid pt \Rightarrow \varphi \in AX, g \in C^V \setminus \varphi^A\}.$$

 Φ is monotone and thus by the Fixpoint Theorem of Knaster and Tarski, Φ has the greatest fixpoint

$$gfp(\Phi) = \sqcup \{A \in Alg_{\Sigma',C} \mid A \le \Phi(A)\}.$$

Consequently,

$$gfp(\phi) \models p(x) \Leftrightarrow \bigwedge_{p(t) \Rightarrow \varphi \in AX} \forall var(t, \varphi) : (x \neq t \lor \varphi).$$

*** to be continued ***

References

- F.L. Morris, Advice on Structuring Compilers and Proving Them Correct, Proc. ACM POPL (1973) 144-152 link
- [2] P. Padawitz, *Übersetzerbau*, TU Dortmund 2016, *link*
- [3] J.W. Thatcher, E.G. Wagner, J.B. Wright, More on Advice on Structuring Compilers and Proving Them Correct, Theoretical Computer Science 15 (1981) 223-249 link